Dear Paul,

How are you doing? I wanted to write you a personal note of self-criticism about how you were treated in the OCIC. As you may or may not know I left the NSC of the OCIC last April. Since that time I have been trying to do a summation of my experience, as well as organize other OCIC and ex-OCIC to do so.

I feel that TMLC was treated poorly throughout the history of the OCIC. This was primarily a function of our sectmain line, and our anti-democratic approach to ideological and theoretical struggle. In particular, I think that we really were unprincipled in relationship to the TMLC duringnthe second national conference and later on in the July onference. I feel that my own role at the July conference was branded by a moralistic approach to the struggle against racism, in addition to various est sectarian ideological weaknesses.

, While I have been devoiting the bulk of my efforts to summing up the incorrect line, and pushing forward my theoretical formation around the issues; I did want to make his personal apology to you in particular since a couple of times we struggled and I was not very principled. While I view this as a problem of the OC's line, which systematically corrupted its cadre, all of us in the OCIC do bear individual responsibility for the reasons we united with such an incorrect line. It is in this ppirit that the self-criticism is offered.

I would greatly apprectiate it if you could give me some feedback on the article that I sent you. This is not a final draft but a beginning for discussion. Could you drop me a note or make a collect call with feedback? Also I am taking a vactation, long awaited, during the month of October into the Southwest. I would very much like to stop in Tuscon and meet with you and the other comradesif this is possible.

As for myself, I am trying to regain my bearings on partybuilding. I've become reintegrated into mass work and am doing PATCO support work, and mobilizing for the Sept. 19th solidarity day. I am also trying to get into some serious theoretical work, some comrades and myself are thinking of starting a serious study group on political economy and thepresent economic crisis. look forward to this greatly ... after the OC experience. In terms of partybuilding work I amtrying to help comrades from around the coutnry sum-up the OC experience. That is the reason that I wrote the papers to help people do local summations. I have attended a number of confernces and disdussions by the LOM, but do not feel much political unity. I am afraid that I see the LOM commades making many of the same sectarian errors the OCIC made. The form of the errors is a little differnet. but basically I think that they are not handling the fra fact that they are the big fish in the little pond very well. They seem to, like the OCIC, continually want to make the pond smaller (trend) so that they can feel bigger.

At any rate, after a few months of demoralization I feel basically back to my political work. I am particularly impressed with the BASOC, and we are working to build closer political relationships. After the OICIC experience I can appreciate their emphasis on summing up ultra-leftism. I have talked to Charlie K., and a few comrdes closer to you a little bit. I am goingto exteblish a mexic more formal contact soon.

I have begun to seriusly review the theoretical positions of Theoretical Review. Initially I feel that I have a number of differnces on methodology, but I am just starting to look at the journal with fresh eyees. My main criticism is that I feel more of a need to have theoretical work define itself by presenting more programatic alterantives for communists in this period. I realize that you comrades feel that relooking at the legacy of Marxism=Leninism, and particulary Stalinism, is crucial for correct theoertical production. This is true, but I also feel that the line struggle has become somewhat abstract without connecting it up to what the decisivie differnces will mean for communist strategy and program. Perhaps you would say this is still my Fusionist, pragmatist, legacy? But this is still a key point to me. I feel that as a member of the OCIC we contributed to alot of heat in our polemics, but very little theoretical clarity, and certainly not a correct path forward. I am looking for theoretical work which not only will clarify struggles of the past, but most importantly clarify the path forward for communists in this period. While perhaps we have differences on this point, I think that we problably have unity that the party is quite a ways away and that we will have a number of years to work together and struggle through our differnces. In the spirit of breaking with the sectorian legacy of the OCIC, I look forward to more joint work and comradely struggle in theyears to come.

Revolutionary greetings,

None forest

Dave Forrest

PS. As I really study the Themretical R view, I will try to write you my comments and persceptions.